Filed 8/20/04 P. v. Johannes CA3

## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Sacramento)

----

THE PEOPLE,

C045718

Plaintiff and Respondent,

(Super. Ct. No. 03F06955)

v.

DEBORAH ANN JOHANNES,

Defendant and Appellant.

Defendant Deborah Ann Johannes pleaded guilty to petty theft with a prior theft-related conviction (Pen. Code, § 666) and admitted a 1985 robbery strike conviction (Pen. Code, §§ 667, subds. (b)-(i), 1170.12). In exchange, a count of possession of drug paraphernalia (Health & Saf. Code, § 11364) was dismissed. Defendant was sentenced to state prison for two years eight months; awarded 115 days of custody credit and 56 days of conduct credit; and ordered to pay a \$300 restitution fine (Pen. Code, § 1202.4, subd.(b)) and a \$300 restitution fine suspended unless parole is revoked (Pen. Code, § 1202.45).

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (People v. Wende (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days have elapsed, and we have received no communication from defendant.

Our review of the record discloses one minor error on the amended abstract of judgment. Paragraph 11 should indicate that local conduct credits were calculated pursuant to Penal Code section 4019.

Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed. The trial court is directed to prepare a second amended abstract of judgment as described and to forward a certified copy to the Department of Corrections.

		BUTZ	, J.
We concur:			
RAYE	, Acting P.J	•	
ROBIE	, J.		